UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES-GENERAL

Case No. CV <u>13-6293-DDP (PLA)</u>		Date <u>January 14, 2015</u>
Title: Yolanda A. Trotter, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.		
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE PAUL L.		□ U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
<u> </u>		MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Christianna Howard Deputy Clerk	N/A Court Reporter / Recorder	N/A Tape No.
ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS: NONE	ATTORNEYS PRESE NONE	NT FOR DEFENDANTS:
PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS)		
Complaint, as required by the Court's Order discourt granted that request, and the Second Amended C should not be dismissed for failure to prosect Trotter and Perez filed another request for an extheir case. Plaintiffs' request for additional tififth request for additional time to amend the p to diligently prosecute this action. As of the Complaint. Accordingly, plaintiffs are ordered to show ca be dismissed for failure to prosecute and follows:	ended Complaint was due no later that complaint, the Court issued a order to ute and follow court orders. On Dec xtension of time, this time so they can time was granted, but only until Janua bleadings in this action, and indicated date of this Order, plaintiffs have no	n December 5, 2014. When show cause why this action cember 15, 2014, plaintiffs retain an attorney to handle ary 6, 2015, as that was the that plaintiffs do not intend at filed a Second Amended, why this action should not
be dismissed for failure to prosecute and folloor before January 21, 2015, shall be deemed or that the failure to comply with this Order w	ompliance with this order to show cau	use. Plaintiffs are advised
cc: Yolanda Trotter, pro se Yolie Perez, pro se Patricia A. Trotter, pro se David Ezell Trotter, pro se Oretha Trotter, pro se		

Initials of Deputy Clerk ch